TFMAP: Optimizing MAP for Top-N Context-aware Recommendation

Yue Shi^a (Presenter), Alexandros Karatzoglou^b, Linas Baltrunas^b, Martha Larson^a, Alan Hanjalic^a, Nuria Oliver^b ^aDelft University of Technology, Netherlands ^bTelefonica Research, Spain





SIGIR 2012, Portland, USA, August 13, 2012

Introduction to Collaborative Filtering



Recommending based on the target user's past behavior and other users' interest



Motivation



Not only personalized, but also context-aware



Motivation





Not only context-aware, but also suitable for implicit feedback data





SIGIR 2012, Portland, USA, August 13, 2012 4

What is New!

- First work on context-aware recommendation for implicit feedback domains
- Taking MAP optimization from learning-to-rank to recommendation models with a new fast learning algorithm





Problem

- Given: Users' implicit feedback on items under different contexts
- Target: To recommend a list of items to each user under any given context, as accurate as possible



Telefònica

Top-N recommendation

Context-aware

Optimal in terms of a ranking measure





Challenges

- How to incorporate contextual information?
 - A tensor factorization model
- What to optimize for training the recommendation model? And How?
 - MAP capturing the quality of recommendation list based on implicit feedback data
 - but *MAP is non-smooth, thus not able to be directly optimized*
 - A smoothed version of MAP
- How to ensure the proposed solution scalable?
 - A fast learning algorithm





How to incorporate contextual information?

CP tensor factorization

Telefònica

TUDelft

$$f_{mik} = \langle U_m, V_i, C_k \rangle = \sum_{d=1}^{D} U_{md} V_{id} C_{kd}$$





The Non-smoothness of MAP

 Average precision (AP) of a ranked list of items for a given user (user *m*) and a given context (context type *k*)

$$AP_{mk} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{mik}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_{mik}}{r_{mik}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{mjk} \mathbb{I}(r_{mjk} \le r_{mik})$$

- AP(*y*,*r*) non-smooth over model parameters
- MAP: Mean AP across users and contexts

Mobile app	y (Obs)	f (pred)	<i>r</i> (rank)
Angry birds	1	0.6	3
Draw something	0	0.8	2
Fruit ninja	0	0.2	4
ibook	0	0.1	5
DragonVale	1	0.9	1

Problem: *r* is a non-smooth function of *f*, thus, MAP non-smooth over model parameters



How to smooth MAP?

• Borrow techniques from learning-to-rank:

$$\mathbb{I}(r_{mjk} \le r_{mik}) \approx g(f_{mjk} - f_{mik}) = g(\langle U_m, V_j - V_i, C_k \rangle)$$
$$\frac{1}{r_{mik}} \approx g(f_{mik}) = g(\langle U_m, V_i, C_k \rangle)$$

Smoothed MAP:

 $MAP \approx L(f, Y) = L(U, V, C, Y)$ Smooth over U, V and C

- Updating *U*, *V*, *C* by gradient-based method to optimize MAP
- Theoretically, optimal U, V, C can be obtained.



Complexity issue

$$L(U, V, C) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{mik}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{mik} g(\langle U_m, V_i, C_k \rangle)$$
$$\times \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{mjk} g(\langle U_m, V_j - V_i, C_k \rangle)$$
$$- \frac{\lambda}{2} (||U||^2 + ||V||^2 + ||C||^2)$$

- Updating *U* and *C*: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial U}$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial C}$
 - Linear to the number of observations in the tensor data Y
- Updating $V: \frac{\partial L}{\partial V}$
 - Quadratic to the number of items!
 - Not scalable in the case of large number of items!





How to ensure scalability?

• Fast learning

- Per combination of user *m* and context *k*, update *V* of a set of representative items (Buffer)
 - Relevant items
 - Top-ranked irrelevant items
- Using an AP property
 - Updating positions of items that are ranked below the lowest ranked relevant item would not improve AP





Fast Learning

elefónica nyestigación y Des



Experimental Evaluation

Data sets

• Appazaar (Main):

- 300K observations of implicit feedback
- 1767 users; 7701 mobile apps/items; 9 context types
- Context defined by motion speed (3 possible states) and location (3 possible states)
- < benchmarking datasets; but > other datasets in context-aware recommendation



Experimental Evaluation

Experimental Protocol



Experimental Evaluation Impact of Fast Learning (I)



A small sample size is enough

Sampling size: 200 Rep. irrel. items MAP: 0.102

US

Sampling size: 200 Random items MAP: 0.083 (-18%)

Benefit from rep. irrel. items



Telefonica

Experimental Evaluation Impact of Fast Learning (II)



Using lowest-ranked relevant item help to improve the quality of rep. irrel. items, and also reduce buffer construction time



Experimental Evaluation Impact of Fast Learning (III)



Training time per iteration at different scales of training set

Empirically validate the linear complexity of the fast learning algorithm



Experimental Evaluation Performance

- Context-free baselines (Appazaar)
 - Pop: Naive, the popularity of each item under a given context
 - iMF (Hu and Koren, ICDM'08): SotA, no context
 - BPR-MF (Rendle et al., UAI'09): SotA, no context
 - TFMAP-noC: Variant of TFMAP, no context

Performance comparison between TFMAP and context-free baselines

	MAP	P@1	P@5	P@10
Pop	0.090	0.312	0.292	0.227
iMF	0.577	0.698	0.642	0.583
BPR-MF	0.612	0.800	0.712	0.602
TFMAP-noC	0.629	0.834	0.720	0.602
TFMAP	0.659	0.879	0.732	0.611

TFMAP-noC outperforms all the other baselines significantly. (Opt. MAP!)

TFMAP introduces another 5% improvement over TFMAP-noC. (Use context!)





Conclusions and Future Work

Our contribution

- First work on context-aware recommendation for implicit feedback domains
- Propose a new recommendation model that directly optimizes MAP
- Succeed in addressing the scalability issue of the proposed model
- Future work
 - To optimize other evaluation metrics for top N recommendation (e.g., MRR, to appear in RecSys 12)
 - To take metadata of users and items into account





Questions & Answers

Thank you !

Contact info: y.shi@tudelft.nl

We thank SIGIR for providing a travel grant for the first author.



Contact: alexk@tid.es or linas@tid.es



