

# Presentation Specification

CMSC5733 Social Computing

# Grading

- Three parts:
  - Grading your own group
  - Evaluate each member's contribution in your group
  - Grading for other groups in the same session

# Notes for Self-Grading

- Notes:
  - Write down your own name and the your grading for your own group
  - You grade after the whole session done
  - We will keep it private

# Notes for Evaluating Contribution

- Notes:
  - In the percentage column, you write down your evaluation on each member's contribution in your own group
  - We will keep it private

# Peer Review Specification

- Five aspects:
  - Completeness
  - Relevance
  - Significance
  - Quality
  - Clarity
- Notes: You grade one score for each group.

# Detailed Rules

- Completeness (20) :
  - Attend and give a presentation (10)
  - Contain problem description, solving process, results (11 – 15)
  - Contain the following feature (16-20)
    - Survey: contain taxonomies
    - Algorithm comparison: contain more than 4 algorithms
    - System: demonstrable
    - Theoretical paper: contain comparisons with state-of-the-art methods

# Detailed Rules

- Relevance (20) :
  - Not related to the course (0 -10)
  - Contain materials taught in the course (11-16)
    - Such as graph mining, social network analysis, recommender systems, and so on?
  - Contain materials related to the course but not taught in the course (17-20)
    - Example 1: PageRank is taught, but you show the personalized PageRank or distributed PageRank.
    - Example 2: You show us a CQA system, which is related to social computing but not taught in the class.

# Detailed Rules

- Significance (20):
  - Impactful for your group (10)
  - Impactful for the research area (11-15)
  - May influence the public (16-18)
  - May change the way people live or change the way people do their jobs (19-20)



# Detailed Rules

- Quality (20):
  - Technically sound, no wrong definition, claims, or results (0-12)
  - Contain formal definition, formal model description, algorithm, reasonable experimental setting (13-17)
  - Contain the following features (18-20):
    - Survey: future directions, pros. & cons. for different models
    - Algorithm comparison: pros. & cons. for different algorithms
    - System: Visualized results
    - Theoretical paper: Result discussion

# Detailed Rules

- Clarity (20):
  - The slides are self-contained and contain no problems (0-10)
  - Contain the following features: (11-16, each feature 2 pts, maximum 6pts)
    - Eye contact
    - Speak loudly enough
    - Speak slowly and clearly
    - Do not read the slides
  - Contain the following features: (17-20, each feature 2 pts, maximum 4pts)
    - Present in an interesting way
    - Use visual aids effectively
    - Organize the material logically