CSCI 3130 Formal Languages and Automata Theory

Siu On CHAN

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Fall 2016

Write a CFG for the language $(0 + 1)^* 111$

Write a CFG for the language $(0 + 1)^* 111$

 $\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow \ U \texttt{111} \\ U \rightarrow \texttt{0} \ U \mid \texttt{1} \ U \mid \varepsilon \end{array}$

Can you do so for every regular language?

Write a CFG for the language $(0 + 1)^*111$

$$\begin{split} S &\to U \texttt{111} \\ U &\to \texttt{0} \, U \mid \texttt{1} \, U \mid \varepsilon \end{split}$$

Can you do so for every regular language?

Every regular language is context-free



From regular to context-free

regular expression	\Rightarrow CFG
Ø	grammar with no rules
ε	$S \to \varepsilon$
a (alphabet symbol)	S ightarrow a
$E_1 + E_2$	$S \to S_1 \mid S_2$
$E_1 E_2$	$S \to S_1 S_2$
E_1^*	$S \to SS_1 \mid \varepsilon$

 ${\cal S}$ becomes the new start variable

Is every context-free language regular?

Is every context-free language regular?

$$S \to 0S1$$
 $L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}$
Is context-free but not regular



Ambiguity

Ambiguity

$E \to E + E \mid E^*E \mid (E) \mid N$ $N \to 1N \mid 2N \mid 1 \mid 2$

1+2*2



A CFG is ambiguous if some string has more than one parse tree





Two ways to derive xxx



Sometimes we can rewrite the grammar to remove ambiguity

 $E \rightarrow E + E \mid E^*E \mid (E) \mid N$ $N \rightarrow 1N \mid 2N \mid 1 \mid 2$

+ and * have the same precedence! Divide expression into terms and factors

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & F \\ & & & \\ & T & T \\ & & & \\ & & F & F \\ 2 & & (1 + 2 & 2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} E &\rightarrow E {+}E \mid E^{\star}E \mid (E) \mid N \\ N &\rightarrow 1N \mid 2N \mid 1 \mid 2 \end{split}$$

An expression is a sum of one or more terms $E \to T \mid E + T$ Each term is a product of one or more factors $T \to F \mid T^*F$ Each factor is a parenthesized expression or a number $F \to (E) \mid 1 \mid 2$

Parsing example

$$\begin{array}{c} E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \\ T \rightarrow F \mid T^*F \\ F \rightarrow (E) \mid 1 \mid 2 \end{array}$$

Parse tree for 2+(1+1+2*2)+1

$$\begin{array}{c} & E \\ & E \\ & F \\ & T \\ & T \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\$$

Disambiguation is not always possible because There exists inherently ambiguous languages There is no general procedure for disambiguation

Disambiguation is not always possible because There exists inherently ambiguous languages There is no general procedure for disambiguation

In programming languages, ambiguity comes from the precedence rules, and we can resolve like in the example

In English, ambiguity is sometimes a problem:

I look at the dog with one eye

$$\begin{split} S &\to 0S1 \mid 1S0S \mid T & \text{input: 0011} \\ T &\to S \mid \varepsilon \end{split}$$

$\label{eq:ls0011} \text{Is 0011} \in L?$ If so, how to build a parse tree with a program?

$$S
ightarrow 0S1 \mid 1S0S \mid T$$
 input: 0011
 $T
ightarrow S \mid \varepsilon$

Try all derivations?









This is (part of) the tree of all derivations, not the parse tree

Problems

- 1. Trying all derivations may take too long
- 2. If input is not in the language, parsing will never stop

Let's tackle the 2nd problem

When to stop

$$\begin{split} S &\to \mathbf{0}S\mathbf{1} \mid \mathbf{1}S\mathbf{0}S \mid T \\ T &\to \mathbf{S} \mid \varepsilon \end{split}$$

Idea: Stop when |derived string| > |input|

When to stop

$$\begin{split} S &\to \mathbf{0}S\mathbf{1} \mid \mathbf{1}S\mathbf{0}S \mid T \\ T &\to \mathbf{S} \mid \varepsilon \end{split}$$

Idea: Stop when |derived string| > |input|

Problems:

 $S \Rightarrow \mathbf{0}S\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{0}T\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{0}\mathbf{1}$

Derived string may shrink because of " ε -productions"

When to stop

$$\begin{split} S &\to \mathbf{0}S\mathbf{1} \mid \mathbf{1}S\mathbf{0}S \mid T \\ T &\to \mathbf{S} \mid \varepsilon \end{split}$$

Idea: Stop when |derived string| > |input|

Problems:

 $S \Rightarrow \mathbf{0}S\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{0}T\mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{0}\mathbf{1}$

Derived string may shrink because of " ε -productions"

 $S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow \dots$

Derviation may loop because of "unit productions"

Remove ε and unit productions

Goal: remove all $A \to \varepsilon$ rules for every non-start variable A

If S is the start variable and the rule $S \to \varepsilon$ exists

Add a new start variable $\,T\,$ Add the rule $\,T \rightarrow S\,$

$$\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow ACD \\ A \rightarrow \mathsf{a} \\ B \rightarrow \varepsilon \\ C \rightarrow ED \mid \varepsilon \\ D \rightarrow BC \mid \mathsf{b} \\ E \rightarrow \mathsf{b} \end{array}$$

For every rule $A \to \varepsilon$ where A is not the (new) start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$

Goal: remove all $A \to \varepsilon$ rules for every non-start variable A

If S is the start variable and the rule $S \to \varepsilon$ exists

Add a new start variable $\,T\,$ Add the rule $\,T \rightarrow S\,$

For every rule $A \to \varepsilon$ where A is not the (new) start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$



Removing $B \to \varepsilon$

Goal: remove all $A \to \varepsilon$ rules for every non-start variable A

If S is the start variable and the rule $S \to \varepsilon$ exists

Add a new start variable $\,T\,$ Add the rule $\,T \rightarrow S\,$

For every rule $A \to \varepsilon$ where A is not the (new) start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$



Removing $C \to \varepsilon$

Goal: remove all $A \to \varepsilon$ rules for every non-start variable A

If S is the start variable and the rule $S \to \varepsilon$ exists

Add a new start variable $\,T\,$ Add the rule $\,T \rightarrow S\,$

$$S \rightarrow ACD$$

$$A \rightarrow a$$

$$B \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$C \rightarrow ED \mid \not\in$$

$$D \rightarrow BC \mid b$$

$$E \rightarrow b$$

For every rule $A \to \varepsilon$ where A is not the (new) start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$

 $\begin{array}{c} D \to C \\ S \longleftrightarrow AD \\ D \to \varepsilon \end{array}$

Removing $C \to \varepsilon$

Goal: remove all $A \to \varepsilon$ rules for every non-start variable A

If S is the start variable and the rule $S \to \varepsilon$ exists

Add a new start variable $\,T\,$ Add the rule $\,T \rightarrow S\,$

For every rule $A \to \varepsilon$ where A is not the (new) start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$



Removing $D \to \varepsilon$

Goal: remove all $A \to \varepsilon$ rules for every non-start variable A

If S is the start variable and the rule $S \to \varepsilon$ exists

Add a new start variable $\,T\,$ Add the rule $\,T \rightarrow S\,$

$$S \rightarrow ACD$$

$$A \rightarrow a$$

$$B \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$C \rightarrow ED \mid \not\in$$

$$D \rightarrow BC \mid b$$

$$E \rightarrow b$$

For every rule $A \to \varepsilon$ where A is not the (new) start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$

 $D \to C$ $S \to AD$ $D \to \varepsilon$ $C \to E$ $S \to A$

Removing $D \to \varepsilon$

Eliminating ε -productions

For every $A \to \varepsilon$ rule where A is not the start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$

Do 2. every time A appears

 $\begin{array}{c} B \rightarrow \alpha A\beta A\gamma \text{ yields} \\ B \rightarrow \alpha \beta A\gamma \quad B \rightarrow \alpha A\beta\gamma \\ B \rightarrow \alpha \beta\gamma \end{array}$

Eliminating ε -productions

For every $A \to \varepsilon$ rule where A is not the start variable

- 1. Remove the rule $A \to \varepsilon$
- 2. If you see $B \to \alpha A \beta$ Add a new rule $B \to \alpha \beta$

Do 2. every time A appears

 $\begin{array}{c} B \rightarrow \alpha A\beta A\gamma \text{ yields} \\ B \rightarrow \alpha \beta A\gamma \quad B \rightarrow \alpha A\beta\gamma \\ B \rightarrow \alpha \beta\gamma \end{array}$

 $B \to A \text{ becomes } B \to \varepsilon$

If $B \to \varepsilon$ was removed earlier, don't add it back

Eliminating unit productions

A unit production is a production of the form $A \to B$

Grammar:

Unit production graph:

$$\begin{split} S &\to 0S1 \mid 1S0S \mid T \\ T &\to S \mid R \mid \varepsilon \\ R &\to 0SR \end{split}$$



Removing unit productions

(1) If there is a cycle of unit productions

$$A \to B \to \dots \to C \to A$$

delete it and replace everything with ${\boldsymbol A}$



Removing unit productions

① If there is a cycle of unit productions

$$A \to B \to \dots \to C \to A$$

delete it and replace everything with ${\boldsymbol A}$



Replace T by S

Removal of unit productions

(2) replace any chain $A \to B \to \dots \to C \to \alpha$ $by \quad A \to \alpha, \quad B \to \alpha, \quad \dots, \quad C \to \alpha$ $S \to 0S1 \mid 1S0S \qquad S$ $\mid R \mid \varepsilon \qquad \downarrow$ $R \to 0SR \qquad R$

Removal of unit productions

(2) replace any chain $A \to B \to \dots \to C \to \alpha$ $by \quad A \to \alpha, \quad B \to \alpha, \quad \dots, \quad C \to \alpha$ $S \to 0S1 \mid 1S0S \qquad S \qquad S \to 0S1 \mid 1S0S$ $\mid R \mid \varepsilon \qquad \downarrow \qquad \mid 0SR \mid \varepsilon$ $R \to 0SR \qquad R \qquad R \rightarrow 0SR$

Replace $S \to R \to 0SR$ by $S \to 0SR$, $R \to 0SR$

Recap

Problems:

- 1. Trying all derivations may take too long
- 2. If input is not in the language, parsing will never stop \checkmark

Solution to problem 2:

- 1. Eliminate ε productions
- 2. Eliminate unit productions

Try all possible derivations but stop parsing when $|{\rm derived \ string}| > |{\rm input}|$









Problems

- 1. Trying all derivations may take too long
- 2. If input is not in the language, parsing will never stop

Preparations

A faster way to parse:

Cocke-Younger-Kasami algorithm

To use it we must perprocess the CFG:

Eliminate ε productions Eliminate unit productions Convert CFG to Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal Form

A CFG is in Chomsky Normal Form if every production has the form

 $A \rightarrow BC$ or $A \rightarrow$ a where neither B nor C is the start variable

but we also allow $S \to \varepsilon$ for start variable S



Noam Chomsky

Convert to Chomsky Normal Form:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A \rightarrow B \mathsf{c} D E & \Longrightarrow & A \rightarrow B C D E & \Longrightarrow & A \rightarrow B X \\ & & \mathsf{replace} & C \rightarrow \mathsf{c} & & \mathsf{break} \ \mathsf{up} & X \rightarrow C Y \\ & & & \mathsf{terminals} & & \mathsf{sequences} & Y \rightarrow D E \\ & & & \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{new} & & & & \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{new} & & & C \rightarrow \mathsf{c} \\ & & & \mathsf{variables} & & & \mathsf{variables} \end{array}$$



For every substring $x[i, \ell]$, remember all variables R that derive $x[i, \ell]$ Store in a table $T[i, \ell]$



For every substring $x[i, \ell]$, remember all variables R that derive $x[i, \ell]$ Store in a table $T[i, \ell]$



For every substring $x[i,\ell]$, remember all variables R that derive $x[i,\ell]$ Store in a table $T[i,\ell]$



For every substring $x[i,\ell]$, remember all variables R that derive $x[i,\ell]$ Store in a table $T[i,\ell]$



For every substring $x[i,\ell]$, remember all variables R that derive $x[i,\ell]$ Store in a table $T[i,\ell]$ Computing $T[i,\ell]$ for $\ell \geqslant 2$

To compute $\,T[2,4]\,$

Try all possible ways to split x[2,4] into two substrings



Computing $T[i, \ell]$ for $\ell \ge 2$

To compute $\,T[2,4]\,$

Try all possible ways to split x[2,4] into two substrings



Look up entries regarding shorter substrings previously computed

Computing $T[i, \ell]$ for $\ell \ge 2$

To compute $\,T[2,4]\,$

Try all possible ways to split x[2,4] into two substrings



Look up entries regarding shorter substrings previously computed

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BC$$

 $A \rightarrow BA \mid a$
 $B \rightarrow CC \mid b$
 $C \rightarrow AB \mid a$
 $T[2,4] = S|A|C$



Get parse tree by tracing back derivations