

Notes 17: Random Classification Noise and Statistical Query models

1. RANDOM CLASSIFICATION NOISE (RCN)

Variation of PAC model where labels may be corrupted with probability η ($0 \leq \eta < 1/2$)

Let $c \subseteq X$ be a concept and \mathcal{D} be a distribution over instances space X

$EX^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})$ = distribution of labeled samples $(x, y) \in X \times \{+1, -1\}$

x is drawn from \mathcal{D}

$y = c(x)$ with probability $1 - \eta$ (correct label)

$y = -c(x)$ with probability η (flipped label)

Definition: Algorithm A efficiently PAC-learns \mathcal{C} with RCN if

for any target concept $c \in \mathcal{C}$, any distribution \mathcal{D} over X

for any accuracy $\epsilon > 0$, confidence $\delta > 0$, noise rate $0 \leq \eta < 1/2$

given samples from $EX^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})$

with prob. $\geq 1 - \delta$, A outputs polynomially evaluable hypothesis h with $err_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c) \leq \epsilon$

A runs in time $\text{poly}(n, \text{size}(c), 1/\epsilon, 1/\delta, 1/(1 - 2\eta))$

If $\eta = 1/2$, label y is uniformly random and unrelated to $x \implies$ no learning is possible

$1 - 2\eta$ = distance to impossible learning

Strictly harder than (noiseless) PAC learning ($\eta = 0$ reduces to usual PAC)

error of h is still measured with respect to c , not y

η assumed to be known to A

2. MONOTONE CONJUNCTIONS

PAC-learning $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{monotone conjunctions}\}$ over $X = \{0, 1\}^n$ with RCN

Original algorithm (eliminate variables inconsistent with labeled samples) breaks down

Idea: individual examples cannot be trusted, but statistics of whole data set can

For each variable x_i , let $p_i = \mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[x_i = 0 \text{ and } c(x) = 1]$

If variable x_i belongs to $c(x)$, then $p_i = 0$

Each variable x_i not in $c(x)$ adds at most p_i to $err_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c)$ if $h(x)$ contains x_i

Algorithm aims to (1) include all x_i in $c(x)$ (2) exclude all x_i with $p_i > \epsilon/n$

Even if hypothesis $h(x)$ includes some x_i with $p_i \leq \epsilon/n$, error is still $\leq \epsilon$

Can estimate p_i by \hat{p}_i using empirical samples $(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^m, y^m)$

If Algorithm instead gets noiseless samples from $EX(c, \mathcal{D})$

Let $\hat{p}_i = \mathbb{E}_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}}[x_i^j = 0 \text{ and } y^j = 1]$

Hoeffding + Union bound: with prob. $\geq 1 - \delta$, every \hat{p}_i is within $\pm \epsilon/(2n)$ of p_i , provided

$$m \geq \Omega\left(\frac{n^2}{\epsilon^2} \ln \frac{n}{\delta}\right) \quad (\text{exercise})$$

Theorem 1 (Hoeffding). Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables in $[0, 1]$. Let $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$ be their empirical average. Then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\bar{X} \geq \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] + t] \leq \exp(-2nt^2).$$

See Wikipedia page on Hoeffding's inequality for a proof if interested

But Algorithm only gets noisy samples from $EX^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})$

$p_i = \mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[x_i = 0 \text{ and } c(x) = 1] = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim EX(c, \mathcal{D})}[\varphi(x, y)]$ where $\varphi : X \times \{1, -1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is

$$\varphi(x, y) = \mathbb{1}(x_i = 0)\mathbb{1}(y = 1) = \mathbb{1}(x_i = 0) \frac{1+y}{2} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}(x_i = 0)}_{\text{independent of } y} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}(x_i = 0) \cdot y}_{\text{linear in } y}$$

1st term (independent of y) is the same under noisy and noiseless distributions

Algorithm can estimate expected value of 1st term within $\pm \epsilon/(4n)$

Since $\mathbb{1}(x_i = 0)/2$ takes either 0 or 1/2 value

Estimate is accurate with prob $\geq 1 - \delta/2n$ using $O\left(\frac{n^2}{\varepsilon^2} \ln \frac{n}{\delta}\right)$ samples (Hoeffding)

2nd term (linear in y) has expectation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}(x_i = 0) \cdot y \right] &= (1 - \eta) \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}(x_i = 0) \cdot y \right] + \eta \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}(x_i = 0) \cdot -y \right] \\ &= (1 - 2\eta) \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}(x_1 = 0) \cdot y \right] \end{aligned}$$

i.e. expectation under noisy distribution = $(1 - 2\eta)$ expectation under noiseless distribution
Algorithm can estimate expectation of 2nd term (under noisy distribution) within $\pm \frac{\varepsilon}{4n} (1 - 2\eta)$

Then dividing this estimate by $1 - 2\eta$

\iff estimating expectation of 2nd term (under noiseless distribution) within $\pm \frac{\varepsilon}{4n}$

Since $\mathbb{1}(x_i = 0) \cdot y/2$ takes either 0 or $\pm 1/2$ value

Estimate is accurate with prob $\geq 1 - \delta/2n$ using $O\left(\frac{n^2}{\varepsilon^2(1-2\eta)^2} \ln \frac{n}{\delta}\right)$ samples (Hoeffding)

$m \geq \Omega\left(\frac{n^2}{\varepsilon^2(1-2\eta)^2} \ln \frac{n}{\delta}\right)$ suffices using Hoeffding + union bound

3. STATISTICAL QUERY (SQ) MODEL

Above algorithm for monotone conjunctions with RCN uses only statistics, hence robust to noise

We now define a model to capture this type of learning algorithms

In this model, algorithm does not get labeled samples $(x, c(x))$

Can only query statistics of **predicates** $\varphi : X \times \{+1, -1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and get estimates for them

Denote $P_\varphi = \mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[\varphi(x, c(x)) = 1] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[\varphi(x, c(x))]$

Algorithm in **Statistical Query** model can query an oracle (i.e. black-box function) $\text{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$

about a predicate φ with **tolerance** $0 < \tau \leq 1$

$\text{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$ returns an estimate \hat{P}_φ such that $P_\varphi - \tau \leq \hat{P}_\varphi \leq P_\varphi + \tau$

A normal PAC learning algorithm can simulate $\text{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$ using m samples from $\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})$

succeeds with prob. $\geq 1 - \delta$ when $m \geq \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ (Hoeffding)

Definition: Algorithm A learns \mathcal{C} from SQ's if

for any target concept $c \in \mathcal{C}$, any accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$, any distribution \mathcal{D} over X

given access to $\text{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$

A outputs hypothesis h with $\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c) \leq \varepsilon$

Definition: Algorithm A **efficiently** learns \mathcal{C} from SQ's if in addition

For every query (φ, τ) of A to $\text{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$

$\varphi(x, c(x))$ can be evaluated in time $\text{poly}(n, \text{size}(c), 1/\varepsilon)$ (assuming $X = \{0, 1\}^n$ or \mathbb{R}^n)

$\tau \geq 1/\text{poly}(n, \text{size}(c), 1/\varepsilon)$

A runs in time $\text{poly}(n, \text{size}(c), 1/\varepsilon)$

Each call to $\text{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$ takes 1 unit time

Algorithm to learn monotone conjunctions from SQ's

For $i = 1, \dots, n$

$\varphi_i = \mathbb{1}(x_i = 0) \mathbb{1}(y = 1)$

Query $\text{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$ with $(\varphi_i, \varepsilon/2n)$ and get \hat{P}_{φ_i}

Output $h(x) = \text{conjunction of all } x_i \text{ such that } \hat{P}_{\varphi_i} \leq \varepsilon/2n$

Above algorithm runs in time $O(n)$

Exercise: Show that above algorithm learns $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{monotone conjunctions}\}$ from SQ's