## CSCI3160: Regular Exercise Set 7

Prepared by Yufei Tao

**Problem 1.** Let s and t be strings with lengths m and n respectively, satisfying the condition that  $s[m] = t[n]$ . In the lecture, we proved:

$$
edit(s,t) = \min \begin{cases} edit(s[1..m-1], t[1..n-1]) \\ 1 + edit(s, t[1..n-1]) \\ 1 + edit(s[1..m-1], t). \end{cases}
$$

Prove: the above result can be simplified into:  $edit(s, t) = edit(s[1..m - 1], t[1..n - 1]).$ (Hint: you can leverage the above result in your proof.)

**Solution.** One way to convert  $s[1..m-1]$  to  $t[1..n-1]$  is to first insert  $s[m]$  and then perform  $edit(s, t[1..n-1])$  operations to obtain t. This shows  $edit(s[1..m-1], t[1..n-1]) \leq 1 + edit(s, t[1..n-1])$ . A similar argument shows  $edit(s[1..m-1], t[1..n-1]) \leq 1 + edit(s[1..m-1], t).$ 

**Problem 2<sup>\*</sup>.** In the class we proved the "grand lemma" only for the case where  $s[m] = t[n]$ . In this problem, we will cover the other case where  $s[m] \neq t[n]$ . Let s and t be strings with lengths m and *n* respectively, satisfying the condition that  $s[m] \neq t[n]$ . Prove:

$$
edit(s,t) = \min \begin{cases} 1 + edit(s[1..m-1], t[1..n-1]) \\ 1 + edit(s, t[1..n-1]) \\ 1 + edit(s[1..m-1], t). \end{cases}
$$

**Solution.** Let  $\Sigma^*$  be an optimal sequence of operations that turns s into t. We claim that at least one of the following situations will occur:

- Situation 1: there exists an operation sequence of length  $|\Sigma^*| 1$  that turns  $s[1..m-1]$  into  $t[1..n-1].$
- Situation 2: there exists an operation sequence of length  $|\Sigma^*| 1$  that turns s into  $t[1..n-1]$ .
- Situation 3: there exists an operation sequence of length  $|\Sigma^*| 1$  that turns  $s[1..m-1]$  into t.

This claim will imply the equation we are trying to prove.

To prove the claim we distinguish three possibilities:

- 1. The last character of s survives till the end of  $\Sigma^*$  and matches t[n]. In this case,  $\Sigma^*$  must contain a single operation that concerns the last character of s; furthermore, that operation must be a substitution that replaces the character with  $t[n]$ . Removing the operation gives a sequence for Situation 1.
- 2. The last character of s survives till the end, and but does not match  $t[n]$ . In this case,  $\Sigma^*$ must contain an insertion that inserts the character — say  $c$  — eventually used to match  $t[n]$ . Furthermore, that insertion is the only operation that concerns c. Removing the operation gives a sequence for Situation 2.
- 3. The last character of s is deleted. In this case,  $\Sigma^*$  must contain a deletion that deletes the last character of s. Furthermore, that deletion is the only operation concerning that character. Removing the operation gives a sequence for Situation 3.

**Problem 3.** Let s be a sequence of n letters. Design an  $O(n)$ -time algorithm to decide whether it is possible to delete  $n - 6$  letters from s so that the remaining sequence of 6 letters reads "secret". For example, the answer is yes for "assdfecfasrdfest", but no for "assdfecfaserdfst".

**Solution.** Define string  $t =$  "secret". For each  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $j \in [1, 6]$ , define *deleation*, it be the length of the shortest sequence of deletions that turns  $s[1..i]$  into  $t[1..j]$ ; if no such sequences exist, define  $deledit(i, j) = \infty$ . Specially, define  $deledit(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $deledit(0, j) = \infty$  for any  $j \ge 1$ , and  $deledit(i, 0) = i$  for any  $i \geq 1$ .

Consider  $i \geq 1, j \geq 1$ . In general, if  $s[i] = t[j]$ , we have:

$$
deledit(i, j) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} deledit(s[1..i-1], t[1..j-1]) \\ 1 + deledit(s[1..i-1], j). \end{array} \right.
$$

whereas if  $s[i] \neq t[j]$ , we have:

$$
deledit(i, j) = 1 + deledit(s[1..i-1], j).
$$

Note that there are  $O(n)$  choices for i and  $O(1)$  choices for j. Dynamic programming therefore can be used to evaluate *deledit* $(n, 6)$  in  $O(n)$  time.

Problem 4 (Longest Common Subsequence; Section 15.4 of the Textbook). Let  $\sigma$  and s be two strings such that  $|\sigma| \leq |s|$ . We call  $\sigma$  a *subsequence* of s if it is possible to turn s into  $\sigma$  by repeatedly deleting letters. For example, "hell" is a subsequence of "asdfhljeljlasfdf" but "hello" is not and neither is "hlle".

You are given two strings s, t with lengths m and n, respectively. Give an  $O(mn)$ -time algorithm to find a common subsequence of s and t that has the greatest length. For example, if  $s =$  "algorithm" and  $t =$  "logarithmic", a possible output can be "grithm".

**Solution.** For each  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $j \in [1, m]$ , define  $lcs(i, j)$  to be the greatest length of common subsequence of  $s[1..i]$  and  $t[1..j]$ . Specially, define  $deledit(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $deledit(0, j) = 0$  for any  $j \ge 1$ , and  $deledit(i, 0) = 0$  for any  $i \geq 1$ .

Consider  $i \geq 1, j \geq 1$ . In general, if  $s[i] = t[j]$ , we have:

$$
lcs(i, j) = \max \begin{cases} 1 + lcs(i - 1, j - 1) \\ ls(i - 1, j) \\ ls(i, j - 1). \end{cases}
$$

whereas if  $s[i] \neq t[j]$ , we have:

$$
lcs(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} \n\text{ } lcs(i-1,j-1) \\ \n\text{ } lcs(i-1,j) \\ \n\text{ } lcs(i,j-1). \n\end{cases}
$$

There are  $O(m)$  choices for i and  $O(n)$  choices for j. Dynamic programming therefore can be used to evaluate  $lcs(m, n)$  in  $O(mn)$  time.

Remark: You can actually simplify the above recursive functions — you may refer to the textbook for details. But the simplification will not affect the running time.