## CSCI3160: Regular Exercise Set 5

Prepared by Yufei Tao

**Problem 1.** Let G = (V, E) be a connected undirected graph where every edge carries a positive integer weight. Divide V into arbitrary disjoint subsets  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_t$  for some  $t \ge 2$ , namely,  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for any  $1 \le i < j \le t$ , and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V_i = V$ . Define an edge  $\{u, v\}$  in E a cross edge if u and v are not in the same subset (i.e., there is no  $i \in [1, t]$  satisfying  $u \in V_i$  and  $v \in V_i$ ). Prove: the lightest cross edge must belong to a minimum spanning tree (MST).

**Solution.** Immediate from the "cut property" proved in the Special Exercise List 4. Nevertheless, we give the whole proof below.

Let  $e = \{u, v\}$  be the lightest cross edge. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $u \in V_i$  and  $j \in V_j$  for some distinct  $i, j \in [1, t]$ . Consider any MST T that does not contain e. We now add e to T to produce a cycle C. Walk on C by starting from u, and passing v as the next vertex, but stop as soon as we have crossed an edge e' that brings us back to a vertex on C that belongs to  $V_i$ . The edge e' must be a cross edge, and hence, must be at least as heavy as e. Deleting e' gives an MST that contains e.

**Problem 2\* (Kruskal's Algorithm).** Let G = (V, E) be a connected undirected graph where every edge carries a positive integer weight. Prove that the following algorithm finds an MST of G correctly:

## algorithm

- 1.  $S = \emptyset$
- 2. while |S| < |V| 1
- 3. find the lightest edge  $e \in E$  that does not introduce any cycle with the edges in S
- 4. add e to S
- 5. the edges in S now form an MST

**Solution.** Set n = |V| - 1. Let  $e_1, ..., e_{n-1}$  be the edges picked by the algorithm. We claim that for any  $k \in [1, n-1]$ , there is an MST that uses  $e_1, ..., e_k$ . The lemma then follows from the claim at k = n - 1. The base case of k = 1 is obvious (we proved this in the class). Next, assuming correctness at k = x for some integer  $x \ge 1$ , we will prove the claim for k = x + 1.

Let T be an MST that includes  $e_1, ..., e_x$ . The existence of T is promised by the inductive assumption. If T contains  $e_{x+1}$ , we are done; the rest of the proof will focus on the case that  $e_{x+1}$  is not in T. Consider the graph  $G' = (V, \{e_1, ..., e_x\})$ . Denote by  $G_1, ..., G_t$  the connected components (CC) of G'. Let us call an edge  $e \in E$  a cross edge if it connects two vertices from different CCs.

Since  $e_{x+1}$  does not introduce any cycle with  $e_1, ..., e_x$ , we know that  $e_{x+1}$  must be a cross edge. Now add  $e_{x+1}$  into T, which gives rise to a cycle. By the same argument as in the solution to Problem 1, we know that the cycle must contain another cross edge e'. By the way  $e_{x+1}$  is chosen by the algorithm, we assert that the weight of  $e_{x+1}$  cannot be heavier than that of e'. Thus removing e' yields another MST; and this MST contains  $e_1, ..., e_{x+1}$ , as desired.

**Problem 3.** Consider  $\Sigma$  as an alphabet. Recall that a *code tree* on  $\Sigma$  as a binary tree T satisfying both conditions below:

•  $C_1$ : Every leaf node of T is labeled with a distinct letter in  $\Sigma$ ; conversely, every letter in  $\Sigma$  is the label of a distinct leaf node in T.

•  $C_2$ : For every internal node of T, its left edge (if exists) is labeled with 0, and its right edge (if exists) with 1.

Define an *encoding* as a function f that maps each letter  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  to a non-empty bit string, which is called the *codeword* of  $\sigma$ . T produces an encoding where the code word of a letter  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  can be obtained by concatenating the bit labels of the edges on the path from the root to the leaf  $\sigma$ . Prove:

1 10ve.

- The encoding produces by a code tree T is a prefix code.
- Every prefix code is produced by a code tree T.

**Solution.** <u>Proof of the first bullet</u>: Consider any distinct leaf nodes  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ . Let u be their lowest common ancestor. That the bit strings of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  are different follows from the fact that the two edges of u carry different labels.

<u>Proof of the second bullet</u>: Let f be the encoding that corresponds to the prefix code that we are given. Define  $S = \{f(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ , namely, S collects the codewords of all the letters in  $\Sigma$ . Grow a binary tree T as follows. At the beginning, T has a single leaf. Then, for each letter  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , we add some nodes and edges to T (if necessary) as follows:

- Initially, set u to the root of T.
- Repeat the following until u is a leaf node:
  - Set  $\ell$  to the level of u.
  - Descend to the left (or right) child v of u if the  $\ell$ -th bit of  $f(\sigma)$  is 0 (or 1, resp.). If v does not exist, create it in T, and label its edge with u using the bit 0 (or 1, resp.).
  - Set u to v.
- Mark the leaf node u with the letter  $\sigma$ .

The final T is a code tree of f.

**Problem 4.** Consider the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  for some integer  $n \ge 1$ . Suppose that the frequency of *i* is *strictly higher than* the frequency of i + 1, for any  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ . Prove: in an optimal prefix code, for any  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ , the codeword of *i* cannot be longer than that of i + 1.

**Solution.** If this is not true, then swapping the codewords of i and i + 1 reduces the average length.