

# Lecture Notes: Computation Model

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Chinese University of Hong Kong

*taoyf@cse.cuhk.edu.hk*

Computer science is a subject under mathematics. From your undergraduate study, you should have learned that, before you can even start to analyze the “running time” of an algorithm, you need to first define a computation model properly.

**The random access machine (RAM) model.** This is perhaps the model you are most familiar with. In the RAM model, the *memory* is an infinite sequence of *cells*, where each cell is a sequence of  $w$  bits for some integer  $w$ , and is indexed by an integer *address*. Each cell is also called a *word*; and accordingly, the parameter  $w$  is often referred to as the *word length*. The *CPU*, on the other hand, has a (constant) number of cells, each of which is called a *register*. The CPU can perform only the following *atomic* operations:

- Set a register to some constant, or to the content of another register.
- Compare two numbers in registers.
- Perform  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $/$  on two numbers in registers.
- Perform the AND, OR, XOR on two registers.
- When an address  $x$  has been stored in a register, read the content of the memory cell at address  $x$  into a register, or conversely, write the content of a register into the memory cell.

The *time* (or *cost*) of an algorithm is measured by the number of atomic operations it performs. Note that the time is an integer.

A remark is in order about the word length  $w$ : it needs to be long enough to encode all the memory addresses! For example, if your algorithm uses  $n^2$  memory cells for some integer  $n$ , then the word length will need to have at least  $2 \log_2 n$  bits.

**Dealing with real numbers.** In the model defined earlier, the (memory/register) cells can only store integers. Next, we will slightly modify the model in order to deal with real values.

Note that simply “allowing” each cell to store a real value does not give us a satisfactory model because it creates several nasty issues. For example, how many bits would you use for a real value? In fact, even if the number of bits *were* infinite, still we would not be able to represent all the real values even in a short interval like  $[0, 1]$  — the set of real values in the interval is *uncountably* infinite! If we cannot even specify the word length for a “real-valued” cell, how to properly define the atomic operations for performing shifts and the logic operations AND, OR, and XOR?

We can alleviate this issue by introducing the concept of *black box*. We still allow a (memory/register) cell  $c$  to store a real value  $x$ , but in this case, the algorithm is forbidden to look *inside*  $c$ , that is, the algorithm has no control over the representation of  $x$ . In other words,  $c$  is now a black box, holding the value  $x$  *precisely* (by magic).

A black box remains as a black box after computation. For example, suppose that two registers are both storing  $\sqrt{2}$ . We can calculate their product 2, but the product must still be understood as a real value (even though it is an integer). This is similar to the requirement in C++ that the product of two float numbers remains as a float number.

Now we can formally extend the RAM model as follows:

- Each cell can store either an integer or a real value.
- For operations  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$ ,  $/$ , if one of the operand numbers is a real value, the result is a real value.
- Among the atomic operations mentioned earlier, shifting, AND, OR, and XOR cannot be performed on registers that store real values.

We should note that, although mathematically sound, the resulting model — often referred to as the *real RAM* model — is not necessarily a realistic model in practice because no one has proven that it is polynomial-time equivalent to Turing machines (it would be surprising if it was). We must be very careful *not to abuse the power of real value computation*. For example, in the standard RAM model (with only integers), it is still open whether a polynomial time algorithm exists for the following problem:

**Input:** integers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $k$   
**Output:** whether  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{x_i} \geq k$ .

It is rather common, however, to see people design algorithms by assuming that the square root operator can be carried out in polynomial time — in that case, the above problem can obviously be settled in polynomial time under the real-RAM model!

**Randomness.** All the atomic operations are *deterministic* so far. In other words, our models so far do not permit *randomization*, which is important to certain algorithmic techniques (such as hashing).

To fix the issue, we introduce one more atomic operation for both the RAM and real-RAM models. This operation, named *RAND*, takes two non-negative integer parameters  $x$  and  $y$ , and returns an integer chosen uniformly at random from  $[x, y]$ . In other words, every integer in  $[x, y]$  can be returned with probability  $1/(y - x + 1)$ . The values of  $x, y$  should be in  $[0, 2^w - 1]$  because they each need to be encoded in a word.

**Math conventions.** We will assume that you are familiar with the notations of  $O(\cdot), \Omega(\cdot), \Theta(\cdot), o(\cdot)$ , and  $\omega(\cdot)$ . The notation  $\tilde{O}(f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_x))$  represents the class of functions that are  $O(f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_x) \cdot \text{polylog}(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_x))$ , namely,  $\tilde{O}(\cdot)$  hides a polylogarithmic factor. The symbol  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the set of real values.