A case study of speech rhythm acquisition in a Cantonese-English bilingual child



International Congress for the Study of Child Language (IASCL 2011)

1.Introduction

donaldtimothywhite@gmail.com, peggymok@cuhk.edu.hk

Donald White and Peggy Mok

- Linguists have proposed three main categories of speech rhythm [1]: **Stress timing** (English, German) Syllable timing (Spanish, French) Mora timing (Japanese)
- Children acquiring a stress-timed language and a syllable-timed language simultaneously have patterns that are distinct from monolinguals

Evidence of influence from Kathryn's Cantonese to her English



- acquiring the same languages: less rhythmic separation between languages of the bilingual children [2, 3, 4].
- The present study examines two languages that differ both rhythmically and typologically, English and Cantonese, in the utterances of a balanced bilingual child longitudinally at two ages: 3;0.15 and 4;2.0.

2. Method

Subjects

- Kathryn, a Cantonese-English bilingual from birth
- Four age-matched monolinguals (two from each language at each age)

Materials

- 20 utterances per language/age for both monolingual and bilingual children
- all utterances between 5 and 9 syllables in length
- utterances segmented into consonantal (C), vocalic (V) and syllabic (S) intervals
- 7 recently developed *rhythmic metrics* were used to measure speech rhythm based on duration:

%V, nPVI_S, nPVI_V, nPVI_C, Varco S, Varco V, Varco C [4,5,6,7]

Figure 3: Monolingual and bilingual nPVIC and nPVIV scores at both ages

Syllable structure complexity entrenched at an early age for Kathryn CV proportions can be correlated with the languages spoken



- syllable structure of the bilingual child was also analysed

3. Results

- Several monolingual scores were significantly different at both ages (Figure 1), showing clear rhythmic separation
- Less separation between Kathryn's scores at both ages. Only %V and nPVI_S were consistently different at both ages (Figure 2)



Figure 4: Complexity of syllable structure produced by Kathryn at age 3 & 4

4. Discussion

• Both *separation* and *interaction* between Kathryn's languages: Separation

- Consistent differences in nPVIS, %V, syllable structure complexity suggest that these areas are unaffected by bilingual context

- Early distinctions between her languages in phonotactic structure and global proportion of vowels

Interaction

- Less vowel reduction in Kathryn's English compared to English monolinguals (sig.diff in VarcoV, nPVI_V at age 3 and in %V at age 4) - Kathryn's English variability is affected by the longer vowels and more open syllables in Cantonese

VarcoC VarcoV VarcoS nPVIC nPVIV nPVIS %V

■ MonoEng ■ MonoCan

VarcoC VarcoV VarcoS nPVIC nPVIV nPVIS %V ■ MonoEng ■ MonoCan

Figure 1: Monolingual comparisons at age 3 and age 4 (* = p < 0.0125; ! = p < 0.015)



Figure 2: Bilingual comparisons at age 3 and age 4 (* = p < 0.0125 for bilingual comparison;

* = p < 0.0125 for bilingual and monolingual comparison at the same age)

- The surfeit of metrics is effective for examining the subtleties of Kathryn's rhythmic development:
- %V and nPVI_V underline the distinction between global vocalic content and vocalic variability; similar variability with different %
- Further case studies of this kind will increase understanding of rhythm acquisition; by focusing on a single subject we can establish a more intricate model of rhythmic development
- 1. Abercrombie, D. (1967). *Elements of General Phonetics*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press. 2. Bunta, F., & Ingram, D. (2007). The acquisition of speech rhythm by bilingual Spanish- and English-speaking 4 and
 - 5-year-old children. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 50(4), 999-1014.
- 3. Lleo, C., Rakow, M., & Kehoe, M. (2007). Acquiring rhythmically different languages in a bilingual context. Proceedings of the16th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences, Saarbrucken. 1545-1548.
- 4. Mok, P. (in press) The acquisition of speech rhythm by three-year-old bilingual and monolingual children: Cantonese and English. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*.
- 5. Ramus, F., Nespor, M., & Mehler, J. (1999). Correlates of linguistic rhythm in the speech signal. Cognition, 73(3), 265-292.
- 6. Dellwo, V. (2006). Rhythm and speech rate: A variation coefficient for ΔC . In P. Karnowski, & I. Szigeti (eds.), language and language processing (pp. 231-241). Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- 7. Low, E., Grabe, E., & Nolan, F. (2000). Quantitative characterisations of speech rhythm: 'syllable-timing' in Singapore English'. Language and Speech, 43, 377-401.
- 8. Deterding, D. (2001). The measurement of rhythm: A comparison of Singapore and British English. Journal of Phonetics, 29(2), 217-230.